

Message Text

SECRET

PAGE 01 BRASIL 01616 020440Z
ACTION SS-25

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 SSO-00 NSCE-00 /026 W
-----020454 105236 /21
O 020345Z MAR 77
FM AMEMBASSY BRASILIA
TO SECSTATE WASHDC NIACI IMMEDIATE 323FOR OPENING BUSINS 3/2/77

S E C R E T BRASILIA 1616

EXDIS

EO 11652 GDS
TAGS TECH ENRG PARM OVIP (CHRISTOPHER,WARREN), BR GW
SUBJECT: DISCUSSIONS ON NUCLEAR COOPERATION

1. IN FULL DAY OF DISCUSSIONS WITH FOREIGN MINISTER SILVEIRA AND GOB OFFICIALS, DEPUTY SECRETARY CHRISTOPHER:
--IMPRESSED UPON BRAZILIANS, IN CONTEXT OF PRESIDENT CARTER'S LETTER TO GEISEL, STRENGTH OF US OPPOSITON TO SPREAD OF NUCLEAR EXPLOSIVE CAPABILITIES AND DIRECTLY-RELATED ENRICHMENT AND REPROCESSING TECHNOLOGIES.
--PRESENTED FOR JOINT DISCUSSION AND CONSIDERATION ALTERNATIVES TO NATIONAL ENRICHMENT AND REPROCESSING DESIGNED TO MEET ENERGY NEEDS WHILE REDUCING PROLIFERATION DANGERS.
--STRESSED THAT US SEEKS NEITHER COMMERCIAL ADVANTAGE NOR ABROGATION OF FRG-BRAZIL DEAL BY EITHER PARTY, BUT MADE CLEAR THAT SENSITIVE TRANSFERS SHOULD BE DEFERRED PENDING FULL EXAMINATION OF ALTERNATIVES.

2. IN RESPONSE, SILVEIRA EMPHATICALLY AND REPEATEDLY REFUSED TO SUSPEND IN ANY WAY, EITHER PRIVATELY OR PUBLICLY, ANY ELEMENT OF AGREEMENT WITH FRG. MOST HE WOULD ACCEPT--AFTER BEING PRESSED HARD BY DEPUTY SECRETARY--WAS TO CONTINUE CONVERSATIONS ON THIS MATTER AND TO CONSIDER ALTERNATIVES PROPOSED BY US. HE SAID THAT
SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 02 BRASIL 01616 020440Z

HIS MANDATE, FROM GEISEL DID NOT GIVE HIM ANY FURTHER LATITUDE.

3. SILVEIRA REITERATED GOB SUPPORT OF NUCLEAR POWER TO MEET ENERGY NEEDS, OPPOSITION TO NUCLEAR WEAPONS (BUT NOT SPECIFYING EXPLOSIVES) PROLIFERATION RELIANCE ON INTERNATIONAL SAFEGUARDS AS FUNDAMENTAL POLICY TENET, UNWILLINGNESS TO ACCEPT DISCRIMINATION IN TECHNOLOGY ACCESS, AND DETERMINATION TO REDUCE DEPENDENCY ON

OUTSIDE ENERGY SOURCES. BEYOND THIS, NEW ELEMENTS OF GOB POSITION

WERE:

--AN INTIMATION OF WILLINGNESS TO FOREGO PNE'S, EVEN
BEYOND SCOPE OF TRILATERAL AGREEMENT WITH FRG AND IAEA;

--ACKNOWLEDGMENT THAT GOB CONSIDERS ITSELF BOUND TO TAKE NO ACTION
INCONSISTENT WITH THE PROVISIONS OF TREATY OF
TLCATELCO AS LONG AS OTHER SIGNATORIES DO NOT VIOLATE THEM;
AND
--READINESS TO CONSIDER FURTHER UNSPECIFIED INTERNATIONAL
NON-PROLIFERATION UNDERTAKINGS, PARTICULARLY AS REGARDS SAFE-
GUARDS, AS LONG AS THERE IS NO ABROGATION OF EXISTING AGREEMENTS.

4. US SIDE WELCOMED GOB STATEMENTS SUPPORTING LIMITED NON-
PROLIFERATION OBJECTIVE, BUT EMPHASIZED CONCERN WITH SPREAD OF
NUCLEAR WEAPONS, USABLE MATERIAL AND SENSITIVE TECHNOLOGY,
AND VIEW THAT SAFEGUARDS WERE NECESSARY BUT NOT SUFFICIENT
TO PREVENT NUCLEAR SPREAD.

5. IN ELABORATION OF POSSIBLE ALTERNATIVES TO NATIONAL
ENRICHMENT AND REPROCESSING, US SIDE DISCUSSED BILATERAL,
MULTILATERAL AND INTERNATIONAL ARRANGEMENTS FOR ASSURING
SUPPLIES OF REACTOR FUEL AND DESCRIBED POSSIBILITIES FOR
INTERNATIONAL EVALUATION OF FUEL CYCLE, INCLUDING REACTOR
TECHNOLOGIES, SPENT FUEL STORAGE, AND REPROCESSING AND ITS
ALTERNATIVES. TO PROVIDE FOR GOB PARTICIPATION IN LATTER,
US SIDE OUTLINED INTERNATIONAL MECHANISM BASED ON BILATERAL
CONSULTATIONS AMONG INTERESTED COUNTRIES WITH CURRENT AND
PLANNED MAJOR NUCLEAR ENERGY PROGRAMS--WITH MULTILATERAL
SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 03 BRASIL 01616 020440Z

MEETINGS AS APPROPRIATE. IN HIS ONLY "OBSERVATION", SILVEIRA
WELCOMED OUR CLARIFICATION THAT BILATERALS WOULD NOT BE
EXCLUSIVELY WITH THE US.) US SIDE ALSO REPORTED WILLINGNESS
OF FRANCE TO BACK UP FUEL SUPPLY TO BRAZIL AND FRENCH AGREEMENT
NOT TO PLACE FRG OR BRAZIL AT COMMERCIAL DISADVANTAGE. US OUTLINED
POSSIBILITIES FOR NON-NUCLEAR ENERGY COOPERATION, WHICH SILVEIRA
EXPRESSED STRONG INTEREST IN PURSUING.

6. AT CONCLUSION OF TALKS, DEPUTY SECRETARY MADE CLEAR--AND
SILVEIRA APPEARED TO REGISTER --THAT GOB NOW FACES KEY CHOICE
FOR ENERGY SECURITY: IT CAN CONSIDER MULTILATERAL ALTERNATIVES
TO MEET ITS ENERGY NEEDS, OR IT CAN ACCEPT RISKS TO ITS
NUCLEAR PROGRAM BY PROCEEDING ON ITS PRESENT COURSE. DEPUTY
SECRETARY SUMMED UP MESSAGE HE WANTED SILVEIRA TO TAKE
AWAY WITH HIM AS FOLLOWS: (A) PRESIDENT CARTER IS DEEPLY
COMMITTED TO HALTING NUCLEAR SPREAD; (B) WE HAVE SUGGESTED
ALTERNATIVES TO BRAZIL, WHICH WE WILL SUMMARIZE IN WRITING AFTER
RETURN; (C) WE EXPECT GOB TO SERIOUSLY CONSIDER THESE
PROPOSALS, WHICH WE BELIEVE WILL BENEFIT BRAZIL AND THE

INTERNATIONAL COMMUNITY, AND WILL FORESTALL PROLIFERATION;
(D) WE ASK BRAZIL TO TAKE NO IRRETRIEVABLE STEPS PREJUDICING
AVAILABILITY OF ALTERNATIVES; AND (E) US WILL CONTINUE CONSULTA-
TIONS REGARDING PROPOSALS WITH OTHER INTERESTED STATES, UNDERSTANDS
THAT GOB WILL BE BACK IN TOUCH AS IT CONSIDERS THESE PROPOSALS,
AND IS PREPARED TO MEET AGAIN WITH GOB ANY TIME AND ANY PLACE.

7. BRAZILIANS PROVED HIGHLY SUSPICIOUS OF AND RESISTANT TO US
SUGGESTION THAT JOINT PRESS STATEMENT BE ISSUED, ARGUING
THAT ANY STATEMENT WOULD IMPLY GOB READINESS TO SUSPEND FRG
AGREEMENT. WHEN FACED WITH PROSPECT OF INDEPENDENT US STATEMENT,
HOWEVER, GOB SIDE FINALLY AGREED TO ISSUE FOLLOWING
JOINTLY AGREED TEXT:

QUOTE THE TWO PARTIES EXCHANGED VIEWS ON NUCLEAR MATTERS AND
ENERGY NEEDS. EACH SIDE WILL CONSIDER THE POSITIONS EXPRESSED
BY THE OTHER. THERE WILL BE FURTHER TALKS ON THESE MATTERS.

END QUOTE.

SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 04 BRASIL 01616 020440Z

8. COMMENT: FOR THE MOST PART, SILVEIRA PROJECTED SOME OF
THIS REACTION WE JUDGE TO BE POSTURING, WHICH AT TIMES BORDERED
ON THE OFFENSIVE. HE POINTEDLY INDICATED THAT HE WAS PREPARED TO DO
NO
MORE THAN TO LISTEN TO WHAT US HAD TO SAY, JUST AS BRAZIL WOULD
LISTEN
TO "ANY OTHER" FIRMLY COUNTRY. AS WE ENGAGED BRAZILIANS ON
ISSUES RAISED BY FRG AGREEMENT, OTHERS ON THE GOB SIDE CLEARLY
INSISTED THAT SILVEIRA VOICE EVEN MORE FORCEFUL OPPOSITION TO
REOPENING THE AGREEMENT IN ANY WAY. AT SEVERAL POINTS, SILVEIRA
SOUGHT TO LEAVE IMPRESSION THAT GOB WAS PREPARED TO ACCEPT
ATTENUATION OF BILATERAL RELATIONSHIP IF THAT WERE PRICE OF
GOING AHEAD WITH NUCLEAR ACCORD. IN THE END, HOWEVER,
SILVEIRA VERY GRUDGINGLY AGREED TO CONSIDER US SUGGESTED ALTERNA-
TIVES-A WORD HE REFUSED TO ACCEPT, PREFERRING "PROPOSALS"--AND TO
HOLD FURTHER TALKS.

CRIMMINS

SECRET

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptoning: Z
Capture Date: 01-Jan-1994 12:00:00 am
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: NUCLEAR PROLIFERATION, DIPLOMATIC DISCUSSIONS, NEGOTIATIONS, NUCLEAR COOPERATION PROGRAMS
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Sent Date: 02-Mar-1977 12:00:00 am
Decaption Date: 22 May 2009
Decaption Note: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 22 May 2009
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1977BRASIL01616
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: GS
Errors: N/A
Expiration:
Film Number: D770071-0248
Format: TEL
From: BRASILIA
Handling Restrictions:
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1977/newtext/t197703102/aaaadmjm.tel
Line Count: 157
Litigation Code IDs:
Litigation Codes:
Litigation History:
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Message ID: c85188ac-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Office: ACTION SS
Original Classification: SECRET
Original Handling Restrictions: EXDIS
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 3
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: SECRET
Previous Handling Restrictions: EXDIS
Reference: n/a
Retention: 0
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 13-Oct-2004 12:00:00 am
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review Media Identifier:
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
SAS ID: 2944288
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: DISCUSSIONS ON NUCLEAR COOPERATION
TAGS: TECH, ENRG, PARM, OVIP, BR, GE, US, (CHRISTOPHER, WARREN)
To: STATE
Type: TE
vdkvgwkey: odbc://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS_Docs/c85188ac-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Review Markings:
Margaret P. Grafeld
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
22 May 2009
Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 22 May 2009